Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/822,191	SHISHIDO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Marianne L. Padgett	1762
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>		
(1) Marianne L. Padgett.	(3)	
(2) Peter Saxon.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 December 2006	Time: Morning	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli	licant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		*
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: 1 & 15		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.	···	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Milledoll		
MARIANNE PAUGETT PRIMARY EXAMINER	·	
• •.		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Agreed to an examiner's amendment clarifying the amendments made to the independent claims, particularly parts (b) thereof, so as to remove the logical contradiction that required plasma to both be present and blocked from the same location, such that the claims would clearly require that the plasma would reach the location of the chemical-reaction inducing unit if it were not for the presence and use of the plasma blocking it, where it is noted that the limitation of part (c) as amended in 10/3/2006 provides a delineation of the minimum effectiveness of the plasma blocking unit.